

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



m.4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,191	06/03/2002	Romuald Pawluczyk	32528	2915
75	90 05/21/2003			
Gregory J Skock Hovey William 2405 Grand Blvd Suite 400 Kanasa City, MO 64108			EXAMINER	
			WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK	
			ART UNIT	DA DOD AND OPEN
			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
			3736 DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	8/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>A</b>				
į.	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/070,191	PAWLUCZYK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric F Winakur	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  )) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is I1, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		_				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		pproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xamıner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documen</li> </ol>						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documen</li></ol>						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Bo * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pr</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3736

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With regard to claim 2, the scope of the claimed subject matter is unclear as claim 1 appears to be drawn to a subcombination (measuring device) while dependent claim 2 appears to claim a combination (measuring device and external power source); the subject matter should either be drawn to a combination or subcombination throughout the chain of dependency. With regard to claim 3, the scope of the claimed subject matter is unclear as claim 1 appears to be drawn to a subcombination (measuring device) while dependent claim 3 appears to claim a combination (measuring device and external computer); the subject matter should either be drawn to a combination or subcombination throughout the chain of dependency. Further, in claim 3, the phrase "such said" is unclear.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

• •

Art Unit: 3736

- 4. Claims 1 - 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson in view of Cherry et al. Robinson teach a non-invasive optical measurement device that includes broad spectrum, near infrared emitters, a receiver for receiving a part of a subject for being illuminated by the emitters, a light receptor for receiving light that has interacted with the subject's tissue, a wavelength dispersion element, a photodetector, and processing and power sources (see columns 14 - 25). However, Robinson does not teach or suggest that the device is connectable through a communications interface to an external computer or through a power interface to an external power source. Cherry et al. teach a modular physiological computer-recorder that allows measurement systems to be constructed from "plug and play" elements. Such an arrangement allows added flexibility when designing and implementing the measurement system. The system of Cherry et al. includes communications and power connections provide necessary between the sensor, interfaces to processor/controller, and the power supply. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Robinson to use a modular component arrangement as taught by Cherry et al., since this allows added flexibility in designing and implementing measurement systems.
- 5. Claims 9 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson in view of Cherry et al. as applied to claims 1 8 above, and further in view of Parker and Yasuda et al. The combination includes a finger receptacle for receiving a subject's finger during measurement (see Robinson, Figs. 32-37), but does not teach details of a housing for receiving and supporting the subject's hand. Parker (Figs. 5 9)

Art Unit: 3736

teaches an alternate optical measurement system that includes a finger receptacle and a hand receiving portion. Yasuda et al. (Figs. 4, 8) teach a finger and hand receiver for an optical measurement system that includes adjustable portions for receiving and reliably placing the subject's hand in relationship to the sensor. As a subject's finger would necessarily be attached to a hand, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the finger receptacle of the combination of Robinson and Cherry et al. to include a hand support portion, as taught by Parker, since this would provide added comfort for the subject and allow more reproducible hand placement for testing. Further, it would have been obvious to provide adjustable receiver elements, as taught by Yasuda et al., since this would make the device useable with a variety of subjects with different hand and finger sizes. Also, it would have been within the skill level of the art to provide a cover shaped to allow easy entry during use of the device by the subject, since it is well known to provide covers on electronic devices for providing protection to the device during use and storage; in an optical measurement system, the cover provides additional ambient light blockage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric F Winakur whose telephone number is 703/308-3940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 703/308-3130. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/305-3590 for regular communications and 703/305-3590 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3736

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0858.

Eric F Winakur Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

May 19, 2003